

**STATE OF TEXAS  
COUNTY OF DENTON  
CITY OF CORINTH**

On this the 21<sup>st</sup> day of July, 2016 the City Council of the City of Corinth, Texas met in a Regular Session at the Corinth City Hall at 7:00 PM, located at 3300 Corinth Parkway, Corinth, Texas. The meeting date, time, place and purpose as required by Title 5, Subtitle A, Chapter 551, Subchapter C, Section 551.041, Government Code, with the following members to wit:

Present: Bill Heidemann, Mayor  
Joe Harrison, Mayor Pro-Tem  
Sam Burke, Council Member  
Scott Garber, Council Member  
Lowell Johnson, Council Member  
Don Glockel, Council Member

Staff Members Present: Lee Ann Bunselmeyer, Finance Director  
Fred Gibbs, Planning and Development Director  
Guadalupe Ruiz, Human Resources Director  
Kim Pence, City Secretary  
Cody Collier, Director of Public Works  
Mack Reinwand, City Attorney  
Jane Krhovjak, Human Resource Generalist  
Cathy Stallcup, Administrative Assistant  
Jason Alexander, Economic Development Director  
Brenton Copeland, Technology Services Asst. Manager

**CALL TO ORDER, INVOCATION, PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:**

**Mayor Heidemann called the meeting to order at 7:00 P.M., Councilmember Garber delivered the invocation and led in the Pledge of Allegiance.**

**CONSENT AGENDA**

All matters listed under the Consent Agenda are considered to be routine and will be enacted in one motion. Should the Mayor, a Councilmember, or any citizen desire discussion of any Item that Item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and will be considered separately.

1. Consider and act on minutes from the June 16, 2016 Workshop Session.

2. Consider and act on minutes from the June 16, 2016 Regular Session.
3. Consider approval of an Interlocal Agreement with Denton County for the collection of the Tax Year 2016 property taxes for the City of Corinth.
4. Consider and act on the appointment of Greg Bertrand as Municipal Judge for the City of Corinth Municipal Court of Record.

**Councilmember Burke** – did not receive correspondence with regard to changes in truancy law and would like to get some clarification on Mr. Bertrand’s position perhaps on jurisdiction of the court and what the city’s obligations are with regard to accepting truancy cases before we approve this contract.

**ITEM WAS PULLED FROM CONSENT AGENDA FOR FURTHER INFORMATION**

5. Consider and act on the appointment of Gilland Chenault as Associate Municipal Judge for the City of Corinth Municipal Court of Record.
6. Consider and act on the appointment of Alison Grant as Associate Municipal Judge for the City of Corinth Municipal Court of Record.
7. Consider and act on approval of a Right-of-Way and Subdivision Entrance(s) Maintenance Agreement between the City of Corinth and the Cypress Pointe Home Owners Association (HOA)
8. Consider and act on approval of a Right-of-Way and Subdivision Entrance(s) Maintenance Agreement between the City of Corinth and the Lake Sharon Property Owners Association (POA).
9. Consider and act on approval of a Right-of-Way and Subdivision Entrance(s) Maintenance Agreement between the City of Corinth and the Post Oak Crossing Property Owners Association (POA).
10. Consider and act on approval of the Right-of-Way and Subdivision Entrance(s) Maintenance Agreement between the City of Corinth and the Meadows Oak Home Owners Association (HOA).
11. Consider and act on approval of the Right-of-Way and Subdivision Entrance(s) Maintenance Agreement between the City of Corinth and the Oakmont Property Owners Association (POA).

**MOTION** made by Mayor Pro-Tem Joe Harrison to approve, Seconded by Council Member Scott Garber

AYE: Council Member Sam Burke, Council Member Scott Garber, Council Member Lowell Johnson, Mayor Pro-Tem Joe Harrison, Council Member Don Glockel

**Passed**

### **CITIZENS COMMENTS**

In accordance with the Open Meetings Act, Council is prohibited from acting on or discussing (other than factual responses to specific questions) any items brought before them at this time. Citizen's comments will be limited to 3 minutes. Comments about any of the Council agenda items are appreciated by the Council and may be taken into consideration at this time or during that agenda item. Please complete a Public Input form if you desire to address the City Council. All remarks and questions addressed to the Council shall be addressed to the Council as a whole and not to any individual member thereof.\* Section 30.041B Code of Ordinance of the City of Corinth.

There were no Citizens Comments made.

### **PUBLIC HEARING**

12. TO HEAR PUBLIC OPINION REGARDING A REQUEST FROM THE APPLICANT JUSTIN LANSDOWNE WITH G&A CONSULTANTS, AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE FOR THE PROPERTY OWNER, VF PROPERTIES LLC, TO AMEND PLANNED DEVELOPMENT ORDINANCE 14-02-20-08 (PD 37) ON 1.0 ACRES LEGALLY DESCRIBED AS PARKRIDGE PASS ADDITION, LOT 2, BLOCK A IN THE CITY OF CORINTH, DENTON COUNTY, TEXAS. (This property is located east and adjacent to 3001 FM 2181.)

**Fred Gibbs, Planning and Development Director** - The detailed site plan associated with Planned Development Ordinance No. 14-02-20-08 (Lot 1, Block A) Parkridge Pass Addition was approved by City Council in February 2014.

The final plat and civil construction plans were approved by the Planning and Zoning Commission in September 2014 for both Lots 1 and 2. The developer's engineer took advantage of the existing detention pond shared by several surrounding property owners and provided a drainage easement necessary for managing the calculated runoff proposed for both lots. With an approved final plat from 2014 and the current engineering plans approved for public infrastructure improvements; Dr. Vignolo is requesting approval of amendments to the planned development land use regulations and associated site plan.

The property owner for Lot 2, VF Properties, LLC represented by Dr. Vignolo, has proposed approximately 9,200 square feet of office building space. The applicant has proposed changes to the existing planned development district's land use regulations approved in 2014.

Tonight the applicant is requesting to amend the PD with the following bullet points.

## **Planned Development Amendment Requests:**

- **Building Façade/Architectural Residential Adjacency Standards:**
  - Requesting the addition of metal in lieu of class 1 masonry and the materials, detailing and features not be included on the north side of the building. Ordinance requires all sides to be consistent and finished.
  
- **Landscaping:**
  - Requesting to have a variable 15 foot landscape buffer in lieu of 20 foot with the additional landscaping within the edge.
  - Requesting to not provide the 20 foot wide landscape buffer on the north side of the property. (residential adjacent)
  
- **Residential Adjacency/Screening:**
  - Non Residential uses and Residential uses require a 6 foot masonry wall and a 20 foot wide landscape buffer.
  
- **Parking:**
  - Requesting employee parking in the rear be 10 x 18 instead of 10 x 20 (this area is within the required 20 foot landscape buffer).
  
- **Dumpster Location:**
  - Within 2 feet of the rear property line in lieu of 50 feet as required.

The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended unanimously (5-0) to approve the proposed amendments to the planned development land use regulations and site plan with the following exceptions:

- Provide the 20' rear yard landscape buffer.
- The north facing exterior facade must comply with the same materials, detailing and features.
- Allow the dumpster to remain in the 20' rear landscape buffer.

Staff does not support some of the requested changes and has the following recommendations:

- Staff recommends the applicant provide the 20' landscape buffer.
- Staff recommends to require all facades on all four sides of the building be finished with the same materials, detailing and features.
- Staff recommends that the applicant relocate the dumpster away from the rear property line.

**Justin Lansdowne, G&A Consultants LLC.** – at the time the PD 37 was established, the Corinth family medical office building on lot 1 was the only lot being developed. The development regulations written for

PD 37 was separated for each lot 1 and 2. The regulations was site specific for lot 1 while lot 2 was left to comply with Corinth Unified Development Code (UDC). The fire easement, utility connections and detention are already in place for the development of lot 2. The proposed site plan for 1 acre and the proposed use complies with PD 37 which allows for C-1 uses.

When PD 37 was established landscape regulations for lot 1 state that the front yard landscape edge is equivalent to a landscaping edge of 15 feet in width and for lot 2 we are requesting the same regulation. Due to the expected volume of clients we would like to provide as much parking as possible and we feel we have done just that with this proposed layout. For the proposed office use the parking ratio shows one space for 300 square feet of building requiring 31 spaces and the site is designed to allow 52 parked spaces. Most municipalities have a different parking ratio for medical offices, some ratios as high as one space per 150 square feet for medical office and that would require 62 spaces. We fill that the site needs to provide as much parking as possible to accommodate medical office uses.

The property to the north is zoned SF-2 which puts us in a residential adjacent requirements and this requires a 20 foot landscape buffer along the north property line. We reached out to the property owners to discuss this matter and the property owners agree that a 6 foot masonry fence being constructed along the common property line will provide an adequate buffer between the commercial property and residential property. We also agreed to extend the masonry fence along the north property line a little to the west to provide a little more of a buffer that was not provided in lot 1 when it was developed.

Along with this parking we are putting in the north, being that it is 20 foot from the fire lane to the property line, you can't fit a 20 foot parking space within that area and a screening fence so along with our request we request that only these parking spaces be 18 feet in depth and 10 feet wide. These parking spaces will primarily be used by employees of the building.

The residential adjacency also requires that no trash receptacles be located within 50 feet of the property used for single-family residences, the property to the north are aware is aware of the trash receptacle location and has not voiced any opposition. Lot 1 has their existing dumpster located in the same location as we have proposed ours. The dumpster will also be screened by an 8 foot masonry fence as required.

The development regulations for PD 37 states that covered parking is allowed for both lots 1 and 2, it is the only regulation provided for lot 2. The original site plan only show 6 spaces being covered but after further discussion we would like to potentially cover the entire parking which would still be allowed in the current zoning.

We hope that the same considerations taken at the time of lot 1 development and considered for lot 2.

**Councilmember Burke** – where do you think the dumpster could be moved too?

**Fred Gibbs, Planning and Development Director** – it is a tight site, we just asked if there is an

opportunity to consider relocating it if at all possible was the recommendation from the staff.

**Councilmember Garber** –where would the 20 foot landscape buffer would go?

**Fred Gibbs, Planning and Development Director** – on the north side of the property.

**Councilmember Garber** – when there is a masonry wall that is going to be 6 feet tall what purpose does that landscape buffer serve if it abuts a masonry wall and you can't see through it?

**Fred Gibbs, Planning and Development director** – any time you have a residentially zoned property next to a commercial use the increased buffer is essential. The 20 foot preserves that area from a building being built there or any other structure so you have that natural buffer between a residential property and a commercial business. Its additional buffer between two uses.

**Councilmember Garber** – if council wished to forgo the 20 foot landscape buffer is it possible through a PD process or something along those lines that we could stipulate that is not supposed to be used for parking and that is it?

**Fred Gibbs, Planning and Development Director** – yes, you can put those types of stipulations in this document tonight.

**Councilmember Harrison** – the original was four or six covered patios now they are going to go with ten?

**Fred Gibbs, Planning and Development Director** – yes.

**Councilmember Harrison** – what kind of cover are you using?

**Justin Lansdowne, G&A Consulting LLC** - it will be steel tubes and color to be determined.

**Councilmember Glockel** – I seem to recall DATCU has a similar variance on the north end of their property to line up with a fire lane that is always existing is that vaguely correct?

**Fred Gibbs, Planning and Development Director** – the only portion of the fire land that is existing is the one that is on the Corinth medical facility, there is a plan to continue that fire lane as these phases get built out and I think it will actually do the full loop all the way around on their property and the property to the east on the corner and have the fire lane completely loop around there.

**Councilmember Harrison** – if we approve it as presented he is going from 20 to 10 on the front landscape is that correct?

**Fred Gibbs, Planning and Development Director** – he is actually going from 20 to a variable 15 so it will be wider in some areas and in some areas it will be more narrow. His total square footage of landscaping is actually more than what the 20 foot landscape edge require. Staff does not have any heartburn on this one because it has already been established on both the east and the west side.

**Mayor Heidemann opened the public hearing at 7:30 p.m. There was no public input. Mayor Heidemann closed the public hearing at 7:31 p.m.**

**BUSINESS:**

Consider and act on an ordinance amending Planned Development Ordinance 14-02-20-08 (PD 37) on property legally described as Parkridge Pass Addition, Lot 2, Block A in the City of Corinth, Denton County, Texas.

**MOTION** made by Council Member Don Glockel to approve as presented, Seconded by Council Member Scott Garber

AYE: Council Member Sam Burke, Council Member Scott Garber, Council Member Lowell Johnson, Mayor Pro-Tem Joe Harrison, Council Member Don Glockel.

**Passed**

**BUSINESS AGENDA**

13. Consider and act on the Site Plan for Parkridge Pass Addition, Lot 2, Block A in the City of Corinth, Denton County, Texas.

**Fred Gibbs, Planning and Development Director** – I don't have a lot more to add. This is more of a procedural that we have to do tonight. Basically you are approving the carbon copy that has already been approved. The only caveat with this one is the applicant has requested as part of the ordinance, we have a requirement to mitigate trees and they are requesting to provide money in lieu of tonight instead of mitigating the trees. The mitigation cost is a little over \$8,100 so that will be placed in the tree fund.

**MOTION** made by Council Member Scott Garber to approve the site plan for Parkridge Pass as presented, Seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Joe Harrison

AYE: Council Member Sam Burke, Council Member Scott Garber, Council Member Lowell Johnson, Mayor Pro-Tem Joe Harrison, Council Member Don Glockel

**Passed**

14. Consider and act on the Site Plan for Victory Life Church on property legally described as all of Lot 1, Block A, Pentecostals of Corinth and a portion of a 0.78-acre tract of land in the J. Baker Survey, Abstract No. 48, in the City of Corinth, Denton County, Texas. (This property is located on the southeast corner of F.M. 2181 and Crawford Dr.)

**Fred Gibbs, Planning and Development Director** - The property owned by Victory Life Church, proposed Lot 1R, Block A, is located on the southeast corner of F.M. 2181 and Crawford Dr. The applicant is proposing an expansion of the existing church facility with a new 7,780 sq. ft. sanctuary and 29 new parking spaces on the recently approved re-platted 2.68-acre site. Church or institutional uses are an allowable use in the SF-2 Single Family Residential zoning district.

Many of the development standards that are currently required were not in place at the time Victory Life Church was first developed, such as building façade materials, landscaping and residential adjacency requirements. Therefore, the applicant is proposing Alternative Compliance to some of these requirements for the new church expansion where it is not possible or practical to meet these standards.

#### **Building Façade Materials:**

Section 2.09.04 Building Façade Materials of the Unified Development Code requires non-residential uses within residential zoning districts to be constructed of 100% masonry, i.e. brick, stone, granite or marble. The existing building does not meet this standard, as it is not constructed with 100% masonry and has a percentage of split-face block and synthetic plaster. The applicant is proposing Alternative Compliance for the new sanctuary building in order to match the same building materials as the existing building on the north elevation. The new sanctuary will also match the same color of the existing buildings. However, the applicant is proposing 94% brick in the same color to match the existing building on the south elevation, as it is immediately adjacent to residentially zoned property. In lieu of the split-face block trim, the proposed sanctuary on the south elevation will have cream colored brick to match the same color as the split-face block trim, 4% sandstone coping to match that of the existing building, and only 2% synthetic plaster trim in order to meet most of the Building Material requirements and the Residential Adjacency standards

#### **Landscaping Requirements:**

The applicant is proposing an alternative to the required 20' landscape buffer along F.M. 2181, as the existing parking and fire lane does not allow sufficient depth to provide the required 20' of landscape buffer width. The applicant is proposing a 10' landscape buffer across the entirety of the property along F.M. 2181 in lieu of the required 20' landscape buffer and 2,295 sq. ft. of landscaped area within that 10' landscape buffer width. The applicant is also providing additional shrubs to the east of the property along F.M. 2181 where no new development is proposed and there is currently no landscaping, as well as a continuous row of shrubs across and extending around the driveway entrances, and trees at a ratio of 1 tree

for every 30’.

The applicant is also proposing an alternative to the required Corner Lot landscaping requirement. Section 2.09.01 Landscaping Requirements of the Unified Development Code provides that corner lots shall have a minimum of 900 sq. ft. of area in landscape at the intersections. The required area is to be measured a minimum distance of 40 feet from the projected corner of the intersection of both sides of the lot and extending out 175 feet or to the nearest driveway. The applicant is proposing to provide approximately 350 sq. ft. of landscaping area at the corner of F.M. 2181 and Crawford Dr. However, since Crawford Drive will be shifted to the west in the future, this site will no longer be a corner lot and the applicant will be providing enhanced landscaping in this area.

#### **Residential Adjacency:**

Although, the applicant owns the residentially zoned property to the south, Section 2.09.05 Residential Adjacency Standards requires a 20’ landscape buffer between the residentially zoned property to the south and the non-residential church use. However, the property has an existing 35’ drainage easement along the southern portion of the property. Twenty (20’) feet of this drainage easement area will also serve as the 20’ landscape buffer between this property and the residentially zoned property.

#### **Screening of Residential and Non-Residential:**

The applicant is meeting all other development requirements that apply to the newly proposed expansion and improvements; however, the applicant is proposing alternative screening to the required 6’ solid, masonry screening fence that would be required between the residentially zoned property to the south and the non-residential church use in the form of landscaping.

**Section 4.02.11 Screening Requirements of Residential and Non-residential properties** of the Unified Development Code requires a 6’ solid, masonry screening wall. The applicant is proposing a row of Live Oak trees at a ratio of 1 tree for every 30’, along a berm on the southern property line, in lieu of the required 6’ solid, masonry screening wall along the property line to the south. In addition to providing alternate screening, this row of trees will also serve as the landscaping that would be required within the 20’ landscape buffer between the residentially zoned property to the south and the church use.

On June 27, 2016, the Planning and Zoning Commission recommended Approval of the Site Plan as presented. Staff recommends approval of the site plan as presented.

**Dale Hoelting, DEH Consulting** – some of the existing materials do not meet the masonry requirements however to make the front façade match we are going with the split-face on the bottom rather than a smooth-face. It does meet the masonry requirements front and back. A little bit of difference in the rear

because we actually used a different color brick in the back and that is the south elevation split-face now and that was at the request of staff.

The existing fire lane will be extended down to the south with a hammer head to meet the requirements of the fire department. Adding existing parking and extending the drive out to Crawford Road to connect back so we have basically points of entry and two uses for the fire lane. The detention pond is being expanded due to the fact when it was previously built the ordinances requirement has been changed since then so we had to ask for additional capacity. It was sized correctly at the time for future expansion but due to changes in the regulations we are adding capacity to that.

With the expansion of FM 2181, the existing parking is only 10 feet away from the property line so it would be impossible to have 20 foot without tearing out pavement so we are actually adding a lot more trees than what is required out front along the side of Crawford and in the back.

**MOTION** made by Mayor Pro-Tem Joe Harrison to approve the site plan for Victory Life Church as presented. Seconded by Council Member Scott Garber.

AYE: Council Member Sam Burke, Council Member Scott Garber, Council Member Lowell Johnson, Mayor Pro-Tem Joe Harrison, Council Member Don Glockel

**Passed**

15. Consider and act on approving amendments to the Northwood Home Owners Association (HOA) Bylaw's.

**ITEM WAS PULLED FROM THE AGENDA**

16. Consider and act upon the adoption of the proposed City of Corinth 2016 Personnel Policy Manual as presented herein.

**MOTION** made by Council Member Lowell Johnson to approve the Personnel Policy Manual as presented. Seconded by Council Member Sam Burke.

AYE: Council Member Sam Burke, Council Member Scott Garber, Council Member Lowell Johnson, Mayor Pro-Tem Joe Harrison, Council Member Don Glockel

**Passed**

17. Consider and act on a Resolution authorizing the Mayor or his designated representative to execute a contract of sale by and between the City of Corinth, Texas and Denton Electric Cooperative, Inc. to acquire fee simple title to Lot R1-2, Block One, Pinnell Addition to the City of Corinth, Denton County, Texas (The Contract) and authorizing the expenditure of funds as prescribed in the Contract.

**Mack Reinwand, City Attorney** – CoServ has asked for the option to terminate the contract if the following items are not met. Replat of CoServ property which is located east of Quail Run Drive, they want to combine two lots into one. The installation of fencing, landscaping, paving and other related improvements again on the same property and also requesting to extend the truck shed located on that same property and reconfigure some parking on that property as well and the last thing they are asking for is installation of a communications tower on that property. Those are items that if not approved by the City Council will allow them the option to not close on this contract.

**Councilmember Burke** – these are consistent with what we previously discussed correct?

**Mack Reinwand, City Attorney** – That is correct.

**Councilmember Harrison** – in this contract it also has provisions that it will eventually have to go back to the Planning and Zoning to be approved correct?

**Lee Ann Bunselmeyer, Acting City Manager** – I am going to ask Fred Gibbs or Mack Reinwand to talk about the process that staff has recommended CoServ to maneuver through.

**Fred Gibbs, Planning and Development Director** – currently in house the applicant has made the request just a few days ago a planned development to address these conditions that Mack outlined in the contract. One being outside storage the other one being communications tower. Originally they would come in and do an SUP but some of the issues with the SUP's is that a lot of the conditions were going to have to be waived to the point where the SUP was not a valid process. So they came back redid a PD and we added these conditions within that PD at that time there is also supposed to be a replat because you cannot have those ancillary uses on their own they have to part of a main use, CoServ is a main use and so we had to combine those two lots to make it one lot so that is a replat is needed to make sure those are all in the same lot. Those are in the process for staff review right now and slated to get them on the August 22 Planning and Zoning agenda. Then it will come before City Council after the Planning and Zoning has made their recommendation.

**Mack Reinwand, City Attorney** – there are few things that need to be done after the property closes one of which, the replat of that property, what you are buying is two acres out of an existing lot so after the property is purchased we will be in process for that property to be platted into two lots so the City will own one of those lots and CoServ will own the remainder of that existing lot.

**Councilmember Glockel** – on all these conditions on CoServ's main building I know you have had the signs, has there been any opposition?

**Fred Gibbs, Planning and Development Director** – we have had a couple of calls about it back when we

did the SUP advertisement. We received call on both the tower and the outside storage and we did get some calls from residents that actually wanted the screening wall to be the same type of screening wall as the noise wall area that is located along the rail there. There was some feedback from some of the residents in that area. We will have to re-advertise again for the Planning and Zoning meeting for the re-zone.

**MOTION** made by Council Member Scott Garber to approve the Resolution authorizing the Mayor or his designated representative to execute a contract of sale by and between the City of Corinth, Texas and Denton Electric Cooperative, Inc. to acquire fee simple title to Lot R1-2, Block One, Pinnell Addition to the City of Corinth, Denton County, Texas (The Contract) and authorizing the expenditure of funds as prescribed in the Contract. Seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Joe Harrison

AYE: Council Member Sam Burke, Council Member Scott Garber, Council Member Lowell Johnson, Mayor Pro-Tem Joe Harrison, Council Member Don Glockel

**Passed**

**Mayor Heidemann recessed the meeting at 8:05 p.m. \*See Closed Session.**

18. Consider and act on nominations, appointments, resignations and removal of members from Corinth Economic Development Corporation.

**MOTION** made by Council Member Lowell Johnson to appoint Councilmember Glockel to the Economic Development Corporation. Seconded by Council Member Sam Burke

AYE: Council Member Sam Burke, Council Member Scott Garber, Council Member Lowell Johnson, Mayor Pro-Tem Joe Harrison

Other: Council Member Don Glockel (ABSTAIN)

**Passed**

### **COUNCIL COMMENTS & FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**

The purpose of this section is to allow each councilmember the opportunity to provide general updates and/or comments to fellow councilmembers, the public, and/or staff on any issues or future events. Also, in accordance with Section 30.085 of the Code of Ordinances, at this time, any Councilmember may direct that an item be added as a business item to any future agenda.

**Councilmember Johnson** – myself and Jason Alexander, Economic Development Director attended the Hotel Association of North Texas Annual Luncheon today and we did some good valuable networking and are hoping to expand on that.

**Councilmember Harrison** – I appreciate being able to sit on Council and discuss things, not always agreeing on everything but just the opportunity that we can discuss and make a logical realistic decision.

**Councilmember Glockel** – I want to thank Guadalupe on all she has done on the employee package and we have set for several hours and discussed it and I do appreciate you.

**Lee Ann Bunselmeyer, Acting City Manager** – this last week the mayor and I were going over the council meeting schedule for August, September and October. In October we have TML and that is on October 5-7 and we currently have two Councilmembers that have signed up for that and it is my understanding there may be a few more that are considering going to that conference so does the Council want to cancel that first meeting in October so that the full Council has the opportunity to attend the conference?

Our budget schedule has that we will present a proposed budget to you by August 1<sup>st</sup> if we do not get them done by Friday we will hand deliver them. We are waiting on the values from DCAD and start running our calculations. As soon as I have those numbers I will let the Council know what those assessed values are. Passed practice has been that we have a workshop on August 2<sup>nd</sup> to discuss the budget so that Council can get an idea what is in the proposed budget before you have to make any decisions on what the tax rate we will publish on that Thursday which is August 4<sup>th</sup>. We just recently went through two budget workshops within the last 3 or 4 weeks there will be some changes but very minor changes from what we have already discussed. Does the Council want to forgo with the August 2<sup>nd</sup> meeting and have the budget workshop presentation on August 4<sup>th</sup> and then have the decision on the tax rate that same evening? You are not adopting the tax rate it is just the tax rate that you are going to be publishing.

It was the consensus of the Council to cancel the August 2<sup>nd</sup> Budget Workshop meeting and cancel the October 6<sup>th</sup> Regular meeting.

**Mayor Heidemann** – I heard from the Police Chief that our citizens have been very good to our men and women in blue and have given them an abundance of food at the police department and that says a lot about this community and how much they appreciate the police, fire and the staff here. Thank you for all that you do and we appreciate your services.

#### **CLOSED SESSION**

The City Council will convene in executive (closed session) to consider any matters regarding any of the above listed agenda items as well as the following matters pursuant to Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code:

**Section 551.071.** (1) Private consultation with its attorney to seek advice about pending or contemplated litigation; and/or settlement offer; and/or (2) a matter in which the duty of the attorney to the government body under the Texas Disciplinary Rules of Professional Conduct of the State of Texas clearly conflicts with chapter 551.

**Council met in Closed Session from 8:06 p.m. until 8:23 p.m.**

**a. Corinth Economic Development Corporation governance and membership.**

**b. Interlocal Agreement for fire Services.**

**Section 551.072.** To deliberate the purchase, exchange, lease or value of real property if deliberation in an open meeting would have a detrimental effect on the position of the governmental body in negotiations with a third

person.

**Section 551.074.** To deliberate the appointment, employment, evaluation, reassignment, duties, discipline, or dismissal of a public officer or employee; or to hear a complaint or charge against an officer or employee.

**a. Deliberation of the employment, reassignment, or duties of the City Manager.**

**Section 551.087.** To deliberate or discuss regarding commercial or financial information that the governmental body has received from a business prospect that the governmental body seeks to have locate, stay, or expand in or near the territory of the governmental body and with which the governmental body is conducting economic development negotiations; or to deliberate the offer of a financial or other incentive to a business prospect.

After discussion of any matters in closed session, any final action or vote taken will be in public by the City Council. City Council shall have the right at any time to seek legal advice in Closed Session from its Attorney on any agenda item, whether posted for Closed Session or not.

RECONVENE IN OPEN SESSION TO TAKE ACTION, IF NECESSARY, ON CLOSED SESSION ITEMS.

**Mayor Heidemann reconvened the Regular Session at 8:24 P.M. \*See motion on item #18.**

**ADJOURN:**

**Mayor Heidemann adjourned the meeting at 8:30 P.M.**

Approved by Council on the 18<sup>th</sup> day of August, 2016.

Kimberly Pence  
Kimberly Pence, City Secretary  
City of Corinth, Texas